Appendixes

- A. Design Flows for Performance Tests
- B. Water Quality Data from Bench Tests
- C. Companion Study by the Port of Seattle
- D. Current Product Information
- E. Vendors' Comments on Final Report
- F. King County Surface Water Manual Excerpts

Appendix A: Design Flows for Performance Tests

DETERMINATION OF BENCH-TEST FLOW RATES

The flow rate for each of several different sets of assumptions was calculated as presented below. The "pros" and "cons" of each set of assumptions was considered. The assumed tributary area to the catch basin is 10,000 ft2 (about 4 catch basins per acre)

Use the mean rainfall intensity: Reflects the understanding that 60% of rainfall falls at an intensity less than 0.1 in/hour; loosely defined as the "mean intensity".

Flow: 10.4 GPM

- (1) Most representative of the area's rainfall rates
- (2) Low flow eases the logistics of the bench testing

Use the peak period of the annual mean storm: Use the peak 20 minute period of the mean storm (0.50 inches in 24 hours) assuming a pattern of the SCS Type IA storm. With this assumption, 18% of the rainfall occurs in the peak 20 minute period.

28 GPM

- (1) Represents the extreme period of the average condition.
- (1) Increases logistics requirements of the bench test.
- (2) Not representative of anything in particular.

Use a flow rate representative of research on other BMPs: Use a flow rate that is similar to those observed in the research of other Treatment BMPs. Storm depths examined in the grass swale work at Mountlake Terrace varied from 0.17 to 1.25 inches over a duration range of 3 to 11.5 hours, for a range of average intensity per storm of 0.04 to 0.20 inches per hour. Given that the test area was only about 44% impervious, this translates to a storm depth of about 0.02 to 0.09 inches per hour, or a runoff rate of about 2 to 9 gpm for a 10,000 ft2 catchment.

Flow: 5 to 10 gpm

- (1) Allows comparison of performance to grass swale research.
- (2) Low flow eases the logistics of the bench testing

Use average flow rate of the 6-month storm: Storm depth of 0.65 inches over 24 hours, or an average intensity of 0.027 inches per hour.

Flow: 2.8 gpm

- (1) Represents the DOE design storm
- (2) Low flow eases the logistics of the bench testing

Use the peak of the 6-month storm: Using Rational Method; Q = CIA with C = 0.9, I = 0.60 inches/hour and A = 0.25 acres.

Flow: 61 GPM

- (1) Represents the extreme period of the DOE design storm. Therefore, if the inserts can perform at this rate, they are able to perform throughout the DOE design storm.
- (1) Increases logistics requirements of the bench test.

Summation: Selecting a flow between 5 and 10 gpm seems the most appropriate. It reasonable represents the average condition, and is similar to flow rate of on other local research project. This range lies between the average and peak of the 6-month storm.

DETERMINATION OF FLOW RATE FOR THE TREATMENT AREA TEST

Use the peak of the 6-month storm: Using Rational Method; Q = CIA with C = 0.9, I = 0.60 inches/hour and A = 0.25 acres.

Flow: 61 GPM

DETERMINATION OF FLOW RATE FOR THE OVERFLOW CAPACITY TEST

Consideration of grate capacity:

- Using 18" by 24" catch basin
- Grate consists of 16 slots (two parallel rows, 8 each)
- Each slot 3/4" by 9 1/2"
- ¼ acre catchment

 $O = 3PD^{1.5}$

D = depth of water over the grate

P = grate perimeter

Using a depth of 0.1 feet

$$Q = 3x(4x9.5+16x0.75)(0.1)^{1.5}/12$$

 $Q = 0.4 \text{ cfs} = 177 \text{ gpm}$

Using a depth of 0.4 feet

$$Q = 3.16 \text{ cfs} = 1,418 \text{ gpm}$$

Consideration of outlet capacity:

- 8" outlet
- 0.5% slope
- no surcharge

From pipe diagram, the capacity is about 300 gpm.

Summation: Use the flow rate that represents the capacity of the grate without flooding.

Appendix B: Water Quality Data from Bench Tests

Raw data from each of the bench tests are presented in the following pages. Analytical methods and reporting limits are provided in Tables 9.

Table 9. Analytical Methods and Detection Limits.

WATER

| Parameter | Method | Units | Reporting Limit |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| Oil and Grease | 413.2 | mg/l | 1.0 |
| Total Suspended Solids | 160.2 | mg/l | 5.0 |
| Turbidity | 180.1 | NTU | 1.0 |
| Total & Dissolved Zinc | 289.1 | mg/l | .04 |
| Total Lead | 239.2 | mg/l | .002 |
| Total & Dissolved Copper | 220.2 | mg/l | .001 |
| Total Phosphorus | ICP | mg/l | .05 |
| Hardness | 130.2 | mg/l | 1.0 |

SEDIMENT

| <u>Parameter</u> | Method | Units | Reporting Limit |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|
| TPH | WTPH 418.1 | mg/kg | |
| PAH | 8310 | mg/kg | |
| Total Metals | | mg/kg dry | |
| As | 7060 | | 0.100 |
| Cd | 7130 | | 0.005 |
| Cr | 7190 | | 0.050 |
| Cu | 7210 | | 0.050 |
| Pb | 7420 | | 0.050 |
| Ni | 7520 | | 0.050 |
| Zn | 7950 | | 0.025 |

First Sequence Test Data

Enviro-Drain (ED-SAC) - Industrial Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 6/8/94 | | | | | | 8/23/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|----------|------|------|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 64 | | | | | | 133 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.45 | | | | | | 3.86 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | inflow | 100 | | | | | | 56 | | | | | | 82 | 85 | 81 | 81 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 104 | 140 | 85 | 96 | 98 | 99 | 57 | 50 | 60 | 62 | | | 80 | 81 | 68 | 90 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | -4% | | | | | | -2% | | | | | | 3% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | 153 | | | | | | 110 | | | | | | 219 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 152 | | | | | | 115 | | | | | | 210 | | | |
| | Reduction | 1% | | | | | | -5% | | | | | | 4% | | | _ |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.18 | | | | | | 0.24 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.27 | | | | | | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | -50% | | | | | | 11% | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | | | | | | | 30 | 32 | 28 | | | | 29 | 32 | 28 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | NT | | | | | | 26 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 28 | 23 | | 24 | 28 | 27 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | 15% | | | | | | 10% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 420 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 18 | | | | | | 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 12 | | | | | | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 33% | | | | | | 3% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 18 | | | | | | 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 15 | | | | | | 15 | 16 | 14 | 14 | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 17% | | | | | | -5% | | | | | | | - 110 | - 110 | - 110 |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 180 | | 470 | 450 | | 400 | 170 | 400 | 400 | 400 | | | 110 | 110 | 110 | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 162 | 170 | 170 | 150 | 140 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | | | 263 | 320 | 240 | 230 |
| 20 | Reduction | 10% | | | | | | -6% | | | | | | -139% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 68 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | 21% | | | | | | | | | | | | L | | | |

Agua-Net (ANS) - Industrial Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 6/8/94 | | | | | | 8/23/94 | | | |
|--------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 64 | | | | | | 133 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.45 | | | | | | 3.86 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 99 | | | | | | 52 | | | | | | 80 | 81 | 68 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 94 | 93 | 98 | 98 | 94 | 86 | 73 | 66 | 76 | 78 | | | 98 | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 5% | | | | | | -41% | | | | | | 23% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | 145 | | | | | | 110 | | | | | | 219 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 149 | | | | | | 126 | | | | | | 238 | | | |
| | Reduction | -3% | | | | | | -15% | | | | | | -9% | | | |
| Tot P | inflow | 0.19 | | | | | | 0.26 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.20 | | | | | | | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | -5% | | | | | | 0% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | | | | | | | Type of | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | NT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 840 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 12 | | | | | | 13 | | | 14 | | | l | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 11 | | | | | | 15 | 14 | 17 | 14 | | | 1 | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 8% | | | | | | -15% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 14 17 | 16 | 18 | 17 | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 11 | | | | | | | . 10 | 16 | 17 | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 35% | | | | | | -21% 160 | | | | | | 130 | 140 | 130 | 120 |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 190 | | 400 | 470 | 400 | 100 | | 180 | 200 | 190 | | | 700 | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 182 | 200 | 180 | 170 | 180 | 180 | -19% | 180 | 200 | 190 | | | -438% | 1000 | 020 | 400 |
| 20 | Reduction | 4% | | | | | | -1976 | | | | | | -430 /6 | | | |
| Dis Cu | inflow | 1.9 | | | | | | l | | | | | | l | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 2.0 | | | | | | l | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | -5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 130 | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | l | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | -195% | | | | | | L | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |

Stormwater Services (SS-1) Industrial

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 6/8/94 | | | | | | 8/23/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 64 | | | | | | 133 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.45 | | | | | | 3.86 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 110 | | | • | | | 62 | | | | | | 81 | 82 | 80 | 82 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 81 | 79 | 83 | 80 | 77 | 85 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 74 | | | 111 | 120 | 120 | 93 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 27% | | | | | | -15% | | | | | | -36% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | 103 | | | | | | 112 | | | | | | 216 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 98 | | | | | | 123 | | | | | | 228 | | | |
| | Reduction | 5% | | | | | | -10% | | | | | | -6% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.19 | | | | | | 0.22 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.15 | | | | | | | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.27 | | 2 | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 21% | | | | | | -14% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17 | 22 | 14 | 14 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | NT | | | | | | | | | | | | 16 | 19 | 15 | 13 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 390 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 17 | • | | | | | 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 12 | | | | | | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 29% | | | | | | -41% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 14 | , , | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 12 | | | | | | 42 | 42 | 37 | 47 | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 29% | | | | | | -200% | | | | | | 403 | 100 | 100 | 400 |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 190 | | | | | | 183 | 170 | 190 | 190 | | | 127 | 130 | 130 | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 138 | 120 | 140 | 140 | 160 | 130 | | 300 | 290 | 300 | | | 857 | 700 | 900 | 970 |
| 20 | Reduction | 27% | | | | | | -62% | | | | | | -576% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 2.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 1.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 31% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow - | 110 | | | | | | . , | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 62 | | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | 44% | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NT = Not tested.

Stormwater Services (SS-20) Park-and-Ride Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | - | 05/26/94 | | • | | | | 6/21/94 | | | | | | 8/16/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|------|---------------|------|-------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|----------|----------|-----|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 56 | | | | | | 83 | | | | | | 140 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | , | | | 2.00 | | | | | | 3.16 | | | | | | 3.35 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | | | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | | | |
| TSS | Inflow | 96 | | - 42 | | | | 110 | | | | | | 92 | | 83 | | | | 157 | 160 | 160 | 150 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 89 | 92 | 91 | 89 | 89 | 84 | | 140 | 130 | 110 | | | 88 | 93 | 82 | 90 | 85 | | 140 | 150 | 130 | 140 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 7% | | | | | | -15% | | | | | | 4% | | | | | | 11% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | | • | | | | | 231 | | | | | | 85 | | | | | | 245 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 1 | | | | | | 230 | | | | | | 83 | | | | | | 224 | | | |
| | Reduction | | and the second second | | ar anantanasa | | a same cina | 0% | | | | | | 2% | | | | | | 9% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.18 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0,20 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.15 | | | | | | l | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.37 | | | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 17% | | | | | | | | | | | | -5% | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | 36 | 37 | 37 | | 34 | | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | | | 56 | | | 54 | - | | 17 | 22 19 | 14 15 | 14 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.4 | | 31 | 30 | 35 | 27 | | | 41 | 47 | 40 | 45 | 35 | 37 | 16 6% | 19 | 15 | 13 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 88% | | | | | | 12% | | | | | | 27% | | | | | | 0 70 | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 450 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | 39 | | | | | | } | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 14 | | | | | | 8 14 | 7 | 18 | 18 | | | 25 | | 20 | 33 | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 13 | | | | | | 14 | , | 10 | 10 | | | 37% | | 20 | - 55 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 7% | | | | | | 13 | | | | | | 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 18 | | | | | | 18 | | 21 | 22 | | | 26 | | 25 | 27 | | | l | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 13 | | | | | | l '° | 10 | 21 | | | | 13% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 28% | | | | | | 220 | | | | | | 300 | | - | | | | 187 | 210 | 170 | 180 |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 200 164 | 160 | 160 | 150 | 170 | 180 | | | 210 | 210 | | | 270 | | 280 | 250 | | | 183 | | 200 | 170 |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 18% | 160 | 100 | 150 | 170 | 100 | 5% | 210 | 210 | 2.0 | | | 10% | | | | | | 2% | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | | | | | | | 3,0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 2.0 1.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 15% | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | N.D. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | N.D. 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | #VALUE! | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | I#VALUE! | | | | | | L | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | |

Aqua-Net (AN-A) - Park-and-Ride Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 05/26/94 | | | | | | 6/21/94 | | | | | | 8/16/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|----------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 56 | | | | | | 83 | | | | | | 140 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.00 | | | | | | 3.16 | | | | | | 3.35 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 100 | | | | | | 110 | | | | | | 84 | | | | | | 150 | 140 | 150 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 98 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 94 | 113 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 120 | | 88 | 91 | 83 | 89 | | | 143 | 140 | 150 | 140 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 2% | | | | | | -3% | | | | | | -4% | | | | | | 4% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | | | | | | | 220 | | | | | | 79 | | | | | | 217 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | | | | | | | 213 | | | | | | 87 | | | | | | 209 | | | |
| | Reduction | | | | | | | 3% | | | | | | -10% | | | | | | 4% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.18 | | | | | | 0.46 | | | | | | 0.19 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.17 | | | | | | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.49 | | | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.20 | | | i | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 6% | | | | | | -2% | | | | | | -2% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | 34 | 35 | 32 | 32 | | | 40 | 21 | 48 | 52 | | | 24 | 21 | 22 | 28 | | | 21 | 22 | 18 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 15 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | | 26 | 27 | 38 | 12 | | | 25 | | 25 | 26 | 28 | 23 | 1 | 15 | 18 | 20 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 57% | | | | | | 36% | | | | | | -6% | | | | | | 16% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 520 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 16 | | | | | | 21.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 400 | | | 39 36 | | 38 | 36 | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 16 | | | | | | | 15.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | | | 0 | | 36 | 30 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | 27% | | | | | | 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 26.0 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 21.0 | | | 27 | | 26 | 25 | | | i | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 17 | | | | | | | 20.0 | 19.0 | 21.0 | | | 0 | | 20 | 25 | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | 23% 220 | | | | | | 260 | | 260 | | | | 167 | 150 | 190 | 160 |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 190 | | ••• | 400 | 400 | 400 | | 230 | 220 | 230 | | | 253 | | 250 | 260 | 260 | | 240 | 260 | 250 | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 202 | 240 | 200 | 190 | 190 | 190 | -3% | 230 | 220 | 230 | | | 3% | | 250 | 200 | 200 | | -44% | 200 | 200 | 2.0 |
| 20 | Reduction | -6% | | | | | | -370 | <u> </u> | | | | | 3 // | | | - | | | 4470 | | | - |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 130 | | | | | | ļ | | | | | | | | | | | | ! | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | | | | | | | |
| . 20 | Reduction | 53% | | | | | | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Enviro-Drain (ED-SAA) Park-and-Ride Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 05/26/94 | | | | | | 6/21/94 | | | | | | 8/16/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 56 | | | | | | 83 | | | | | | 140 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.00 | | | | | | 3.16 | | | | | | 3.35 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep 2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 92 | | | | | | 140 | | | | | | 98 | | | | | | 151 | 155 | 150 | 14 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 98 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 93 | 110 | 101 | 110 | 100 | 92 | | | 84 | 82 | 83 | 86 | | | 150 | 150 | 149 | 150 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | -7% | | | | | | 28% | | | | | | 15% | | | | | | 1% | | | |
| Turb. | inflow | T | | | | | | 214 | | | | , | | 85 | | | | | | 188 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | NT | | | | | | 211 | | | | | | 89 | | | | | | 193 | | | |
| | Reduction | | | | | | | 1% | | | | | | -5% | | | | | | -3% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.19 | | | | | | 0.49 | | | | | | 0,19 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.18 | | | | | | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.43 | | | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 5% | | | | | | 27% | | | | | | -16% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | 59 | 52 | 70 | | | | 29 | 28 | 32 | 27 | | | 44 | 43 | 28 | 60 | | | 29 | 19 | 45 | 23 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 19 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 19 | | 30 | 32 | 28 | 32 | 27 | | 46 | 43 | 42 | 47 | 52 | 45 | | 15 | 18 | 20 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 68% | | | | | | -3% | | | | | | -5% | | | | | | 39% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | · · | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 360 | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | | | | l | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 15 | | | | | | 20 | | | | | | 39 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 14 | | | | | | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | | 38 | 42 | 39 | 33 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 7% | | | | | | 28% | | | | | | 3% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 26 | | | | | | 27 | | | | | | l | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 20 | | | | | | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 17 | | 26 | 28 | 26 | 24 | | | l | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | -18% | | | | | | 36% | | | | | | 4% | | | | | | | | • | |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 180 | | | | | | 240 | | | ••• | ••• | | 260 | ••• | ••• | ••• | | | 210 | 230 | 200 | 200 |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 164 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 160 | | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | | 267 | 280 | 260 | 260 | | | 210 | 200 | 230 | 200 |
| 20 | Reduction | 9% | | | | | | 17% | | | | | | -3% | | | | | | 0% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 5.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 2.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 59% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 45 | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | 26% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Enviro-Drain (ED-A) - Maintenance Shop Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 5/25/94 | | | | | | 8/18/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------|---|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 47 | | | | | | 133 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.90 | | | | | | 4.70 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 91 | | | | | | 130 | | | | | - | 114 | 63 | 140 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 120 | 110 | 120 | 130 | | | 143 | 140 | 150 | 140 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | -10% | | | | | | 8% | | | | | | -25% | | | |
| Turb. | inflow | 177 | | | | | | 203 | | | | | | 270 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 177 | | | | | | 211 | | | | | | 259 | | | |
| | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | -4% | | | | | | 4% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.17 | | | | | | 0.42 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.14 | | | | | | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.38 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 18% | | | | | | 9% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | | | | | | | 28 | 28 | 22 | 35 | | | 30 | 28 | 32 | 30 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | | | | | | | 24 | 25 | 25 | 22 | | | 48 | 61 | 56 | 27 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | 15% | | | | · | | -60% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 330 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 20 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 16 | | | | | - 1 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 6% | | | | | | 15% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 16 | | | | | | 25 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 17 | | | | | | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | | | • | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | -6% | | | | | | 12% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 160 | | | | | | 230 | _ / _ | | | | | 230 | 260 | 210 | 220 |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 188 | 190 | 180 | 190 | 180 | 200 | 217 | 210 | 220 | 220 | | | 207 | 210 | 210 | 200 |
| 20 | Reduction | -18% | | | | | | 6% | | | | | | 10% | - | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 1.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 2.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | -42% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 30 | | | • | | - 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | 33% | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |

NT = Not tested.

Aqua-Net (AN-A) - Maintenance Shop Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 5/25/94 | | | | | | 8/16/94 | | | |
|------------------|------------|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 47 | | | | | | 133 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 2.90 | | | | | | 4.70 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep 2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 100 | • | | | | | 130 | | | | | | 147 | 150 | 140 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 98.0 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 94 | 110 | 120 | 110 | 100 | | | 137 | 140 | 140 | |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 2% | | | | | | 15% | | | | | | 7% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | 117 | | | | | 1 | 189 | | | | | | 279 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 121 | | | | | | 188 | | | | | | 272 | | | |
| | Reduction | -3% | | | | | | 1% | | | | | | 3% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.18 | | | | | | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.41 | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.17 | | | | | , | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.42 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 6% | | | | | | 10% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | 34 | 35 | 32 | 32 | 37 | | 18 | 19 | 16 | 19 | | | 20 | 14 | 25 | 22 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 15 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | | | 20 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 57% | | | | | | 9% | | | | | | 2% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 520 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 16 | | | | | | 19 | 19 | 19 | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 16 | | | | | | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | 12% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 26.5 | 26 | 27 | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 17 | | | | | | 22.0 | 23 | 22 | 21 | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | 17% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 190 | | | | | | 235 | 240 | 230 | | | | 197 | 170 | 230 | 190 |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 202 | 240 | 200 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 207 | 210 | 210 | 200 | | | 183 | 200 | 160 | 190 |
| 20 | Reduction | -6% | | | | | | 12% | | | | | | 7% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 130 | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | | | | - | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | 53% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NT = Not tested.

Aqua-Net (AN-S) - Arterial Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 6/16/94 | | | | | | 8/18/94 | ISSING | 3 | |
|------------------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 65 | | | | | | 118 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 1.80 | | | | | | 2.83 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 99 | | | | | | 84 | | | | | | 150 | 150 | 140 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 94 | 93 | 98 | 98 | 94 | 86 | 76 | 78 | 77 | 73 | | | 147 | 140 | 150 | |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 5% | | | | | | 10% | | | | | | 2% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | 145 | | | | | | 75 | | | | | | 289 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 149 | | | | | | 77 | | | | | | 288 | | | |
| | Reduction | -3% | | | | | | -3% | | | | | | 0% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.19 | | | | | | 0.24 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.20 | | | | | 4 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.21 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | -5% | | | | | | 10% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | | | | | | | 45 | 48 | 50 | 38 | | | 17 | 22 | 14 | 14 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | NT | | | | | | 34 | 37 | 41 | 35 | 30 | 28 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 13 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | 25% | | | | | | 6% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 840 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow . | 12 | | | | | | 21 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 11 | | | | | | 19 | 20 | 18 | 18 | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 8% | | | | | | 11% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 27 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 11 | | | | | | 29 | 29 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 33 | | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 35% | | | | | | -7% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 190 | | | | | | 270 | | | | | | 200 | 220 | 180 | 200 |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 182 | 200 | 180 | 170 | 180 | 180 | 263 | 270 | 260 | 260 | | | 237 | 270 | 220 | 220 |
| 20 | Reduction | 4% | | | | | | 2% | | | | | | -18% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 1.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | Outflow | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Reduction | -5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inflow | 44 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | - 1 | | | | |
| | Outflow | 130 | | | 1 | | - 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | -195% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NT = Not tested.

Stormwater Services (SS-20) - Arterial Site

| | Date | 3/30/94 | | | | | | 5/16/94 | | | | | | 8/18/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|----------|------|-------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 65 | | | | | ļ | 118 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 1.80 | | | | | | 2.83 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep 2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average_ | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 96 | | | | | | 86 | | | | | | 143 | 140 | 140 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 89 | 92 | 91 | 89 | 89 | 84 | 65 | 70 | 69 | 55 | | | 123 | 120 | 130 | 120 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | 7% | | | | | | 25% | | | | | | 14% | | | |
| Turb. | Inflow | | | | | | | 75 | | | | | | 279 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | | | | | | | 77 | | | | | | 252 | | | |
| | Reduction | <u> </u> | | | | | | -3% | | | | | | 10% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.18 | | | | | | 0.26 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.15 | | | • | • | | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.20 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 17% | | | | | | 22% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 34 | | 35 | 35 | 37 | | | | 32 | 28 | 35 | 32 |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.4 | | 26 | 23 | 33 | 22 | 23 | 28 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 16 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 88% | | | | | | 27% | | | | | | 48% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 450 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 14 | | | | | | 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 13 | | | | | | 22 | 19 | 20 | 28 | 20 | 22 | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 7% | | | | | | -9% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 18 | | | | | | 28 | | | | | | l | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 13 | | | | | | 31 | 28 | 30 | 34 | | | l | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | 28% | | | | | | -10% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 200 | | | | | | 240 | | | | | | 220 | 210 | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 164 | 160 | 160 | 150 | 170 | 180 | | 280 | 270 | 280 | | | 240 | 280 | 210 | 230 |
| 20 | Reduction | 18% | | | | | | -15% | | | | | | -9% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 1.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 15% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | N.D. | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |

NT = Not tested.

Enviro-Drain (ED-SAA) Arterial Site

| | Date | | | | | | | 6/16/94 | | | | | | 8/18/94 | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|
| Parameter | Session | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Units | Total Days | 0 | | | | | | 65 | | | | | | 118 | | | |
| MDL | Total Rain | 0.00 | | | | | | 1.80 | | | | | | 2.83 | | | |
| | | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 | Rep4 | Rep5 | Average | Rep1 | Rep2 | Rep3 |
| TSS | Inflow | 92 | | | | | | 78 | | | 7. | | | 140 | 140 | 140 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 98 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 93 | 110 | 64 | 63 | 59 | 65 | 69 | 63 | 153 | 150 | 150 | 160 |
| 5.0 | Reduction | -7% | | | | | | 18% | | | | | | -10% | | | |
| Turb. | inflow | 166 | | | | | | 75 | | | | | | 284 | | | |
| (NTU) | Outflow | 163 | | | | | | 79 | | | | | | 271 | | | |
| | Reduction | 2% | | | | | | -5% | | | | | | 5% | | | |
| Tot P | Inflow | 0.19 | | | | | | 0.21 | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 0.18 | | | | | | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.24 | | | | | | |
| 0.050 | Reduction | 5% | | | | | | -6% | | | | | | | | | |
| O/G | Inflow | 59 | 52 | 70 | 62 | 50 | | 48 | 50 | 38 | | | | 14 | 15 | 13 | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 19 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 19 | | 34 | 37 | 41 | 35 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 30 | 23 | 28 |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 68% | | | | | | 29% | | | | | | -88% | | | |
| Hard | Inflow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (mg/L) | Outflow | 360 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Cu | Inflow | 15 | | | | | | 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 14 | | | | | | 18 | 19 | 16 | 19 | | | 1 | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 7% | | | | | | 5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Pb | Inflow | 17 | | | | | | 27 | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 20 | | | | | | 32 | 35 | 24 | 38 | | | l . | | | |
| 2.0 | Reduction | -18% | | | | | | -20% | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot Zn | Inflow | 180 | | | | | | 290 | | | | | | 167 | 180 | 160 | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 164 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 160 | 270 | 280 | 260 | 270 | | | 187 | 180 | 190 | 190 |
| 20 | Reduction | 9% | | | | | | 7% | | | | | | -12% | | | |
| Dis Cu | Inflow | 5.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 2.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | · · | | | |
| 1.0 | Reduction | 59% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dis Zn | Inflow | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ug/L) | Outflow | 45 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Reduction | 26% | | | ' | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NT = Not tested.

Appendix C: Companion Study by the Port of Seattle

Study objective: The objective of the study was to determine if a catch basin insert will improve the performance of a catch basin. The approach was to compare the amount of sediment removed by sumps without the overlying insert to catch basins with an insert.

Test site: The test site is a 10 acre area, recently developed. The site is used for the storage of containers. Inserts (Stormwater Services, Type 1) were placed in nine catch basins; nine additional catch basins were used as control sites. The sump dimensions are 20" by 24"; the depth of the sumps ranged from 3.5 to 5 feet below the invert of the outlet.

Test procedure: The sumps were cleaned and the inserts were installed on February 4, 1994 to begin the experiment. The sumps and inserts were cleaned again on July 8, 1994 to end the experiment. Sediment removed from the sumps with inserts, including the sediment in the inserts, was kept separate from the sediment removed from the sumps without inserts. The removed sediment was air dried and weighed.

The inserts were periodically observed to ascertain if accumulation-washout was occurring in the inserts. The observations suggest that accumulation-washout was not occurring as the depth of the sediment gradually increased through the period of the experiment.

Results: The amount of sediment removed by the inserts was 200 pounds; the sediment removed by the underlying sumps was 270 pounds. Therefore, a total of 470 pounds was removed by the catch basins with inserts or about 47 pounds by each catch basin. The sumps in the seven catch basins without inserts removed about 330 pounds or 47 pounds per catch basin sump.

A size distribution analysis was done of sediment from the inserts and the sumps. The data shown below indicate no difference in the size distribution. Most of the captured sediment was sand.

| Size Fraction | Insert Sediment | Sump Sediment |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Gravel | 18.1 | 19.0 |
| Sand | 66.0 | 66.1 |
| Silt | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| Clay | 9.5 | 8.9 |

Conclusion: The experiment found that a catch basin in combination with an insert performed no more effectively than just the sump.

There is some question as to the applicability of these results to other sites. Midway during the experiment it was discovered that the test site was unique with two respects. First, portions of the site are unpaved. The site has designated areas for the containers; these areas are composed of a designed gravel mix. The lanes between the designated

storage areas through which the containers are moved are paved. It was noticed that during rainy periods when the container-movers (call top-picks) move off the paved area, the wheels "pump" sediment to the surface which is then washed onto the pavement and into the catch basins. In addition, the paved areas are washed daily during dry periods to prevent fugitive dust emissions. The site is therefore unusual with regard to the amount sediment washed from a developed site and the presence of pavement washing.

It is also noted that the sumps present at this site meet the criteria that USEPA (1977) has found necessary to effectively remove sediments; the USEPA recommends that both the width and depth of the sump be at least four times the diameter of the outlet pipe. Sumps at the Port site meet these criteria. However, where the sump is much smaller and does not meet the recommended criteria an insert may improve the overall performance. The inserts in this study did remove a significant amount of sediment.

Observations on the removal mechanisms: The volume of the sumps range from 10 to 20 cubic feet whereas the inserts units have a volume of only about one cubic feet. Despite their relatively small volume the inserts represented about 43% of the performance. That the inserts played such a significant role suggests that the majority of removal occurs during the wash-off period, rather than between wash-off periods. If so, removal is a function of insert (or sump) surface area (overflow rate) rather than insert (or sump) volume. The surface area of each tray is essentially the same surface area as the sumps (about 2 versus about 3.3 ft2, respectively); therefore, whatever the sumps are capable of capturing the trays can also remove; and conversely, whatever is removed by the trays is not available to be removed by the sumps. This is perhaps reasonable since wash-down occurs several times each day and therefore there is little time for settling to occur between wash-down events. That removal occurs primarily during wash-off is suggested by the size distribution analysis: 75% of the captured material is sand and gravel.

Other observations:

- 1 Clogging of the filter fabric occurred quickly, within a few days of replacement.
- 2 Accumulation appeared to gradually build over the four month period. There did not appear to be a cycle of accumulation and washout.
- 3 However, washout does appear to occur around the edges and the outlet of the upper tray. The accumulation is much deeper in the center of the upper tray.
- 4 Little accumulation occurred in the lower tray, even though by May 25th it seemed that the upper tray in many of the units was "full".
- 5 It seems that for this site the units need to cleaned only twice yearly, and only the upper tray. The lower tray need only be cleaned once yearly or once every two years.
- 6 The units were easily serviced: just remove the trays and "tap" the material into a garbage can; and replace the fabric. Total time of about five minutes per unit. One person is quite capable of doing the maintenance.
- 7. The units are not "water-tight". Leakage occurs between the catch basin rim and the adapter.